Perfusion MR Imaging: tumoral responses to treatment

Dr. Estanislao Arana Dept Radiology. Fundación Instituto Valenciano de Oncología. Valencia Spain



Thanks to Dr Beatriz Asenjo. Dept Radiology. Hospital Carlos Haya. Málaga

I have no conflict of interest

Index

- Technical tips
- Primary brain tumors
 - Progression
 - Pseudoprogression
 - Pseudoresponse
- Metastases

Parameters DSC T2*

- rCBV, rCBF, mean transit time peak
- rCBV
 - most robust
 - most related to cell and vascular density





Technique



AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:419-424

Current imaging markers

Does MR Perfusion Imaging Impact Management Decisions for Patients with Brain Tumors? A Prospective Study

RESULTS: Fifty-nine consecutive subjects with glial tumors were evaluated; 50 had known pathologic diagnoses. NRs and the treatment team agreed on tumor status in 45/50 cases ($\kappa = 0.81$). With the addition of perfusion, confidence in status assessment increased in 20 (40%) for NRs and in 28 (56%) for the treatment team. Of the 59 patient-care episodes, the addition of perfusion was associated with a change in management plan in 5 (8.5%) and an increase in the treatment team's confidence in their management plan in 34 (57.6%) NRs and the treatment team found perfusion useful in most episodes of care and wanted perfusion included in future MR images for >80% of these subjects.

CONCLUSIONS: Perfusion imaging appears to have a significant impact on clinical decision-making and subspecialist physicians' confidence in management plans for patients with brain tumor.

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012 33: 556-562

RANO

Criteria	CR	PR	SD	PD
Enhancing disease	None	≥50% ↓	<50% ↓ but <25% ↑	≥25% ↑ª
T2/FLAIR	Stable or \downarrow	Stable or ↓	Stable or ↓	<u>↑</u> ª
New lesion	None	None	None	Presentª
Corticosteroids	None	Stable or ↓	Stable or ↓	NA ^b
Clinical status	Stable or ↑	Stable or ↑	Stable or ↑	↓ <u>a</u>
Requirement for response	All	All	All	Anyª

CR, complete response; NA, not applicable; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

a Progression occurs when criterion present.

b Corticosteroid dose increase alone is not considered in determining disease progression when there is no persistent clinical deterioration.

J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1963–72

RANO limitations

- Definition of significant [↑] T2/FLAIR
- Nonenhancing T2/FLAIR tumor progression vs radiation effects, demyelination,etc..
- Signficant change corticosteroid dose
- Lack of validated measures of neurologic function

Low grade glioma

rCBV basel
 rCBV 1 ye
 rCBV > 1
 progression



- Oligodendroglioma: [↑]rCBV , esp. 1p/19q loss
- Low rCBV of nontransforming LGG not a biomarker (floor effect)

J Neuro-Oncol 2010; 97:73-80 Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am 2013;21:241-268

Imaging schedule

Baseline diagnosis

		Surgical De-bulking
Week 0	-	
		MRI Scan prior to RT planning
Week 4		
		6 weeks chemo-radiotherapy
Week 10		
		MRI Scan within 6 weeks of finishing RT
Week 14		
		Monthly TMZ x6
Week 34		
		Post adjuvant TMZ MRI scan

- Perfusion always
- ↓ rCBV after 1 week
 vs preRT, better
- Dexamethasone doesn't affect

Survival prediction in high-grade gliomas by MRI perfusion before and during early stage of RT Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006;64:876-85

Spectrum of findings

Post-treatment radiation effect

MRI findings following radiation, including those not mimicking tumor progression (i.e., T2 changes consistent with leukoencephalopathy)

Pseudoprogression

MRI findings concerning for recurrence but demonstrated by clinical follow-up or histologic examination to not be caused by recurrent disease

Radiation necrosis MRI findings concerning for recurrent disease, demonstrated pathologically to have necrosis and radiation changes but no recurrent tumor

Confidence

Baseline





After radiosurgery







Progression



Pseudoprogression

- No strict definition
- \uparrow CET1 **<u>3</u>** to 6 Ms after chemoradiation
- Most asymptomatic, 33% may require some therapy
- Retrospective diagnosis based
- Most powerful informer is sequential imaging

After surgery



3Ms after RT+TMZ





rCBV 1.1





Pseudoprogression and MGMT

в

- Methylated: bette
- Mild ↑rCBV: PsP^{DNA}
- Unmethylated:
 rCBV > 1.47 prob_DNA



Acta Neurol Scand 2013: 127 (Suppl. 190). 31–37 AJNR 2011;32:382-387

MGMT unmethylated

After Surg



1M RT+TMZ



2M after RT



rCBV 1.5

rCBV 3.5

Pseudoresponse Antiangiogenic, bevacizumab

- ↓ permeability due to vascular normalization rather than antitumoral effect
- [†] T2/FLAIR, tumor progression, invasive nonenhancing
- ↓ morbidity and steroid usage

Pseudoresponse





rCBV 6,72



rCBV 1,9



0.40 1.00 [min.sec] rCBV 1,5

1.20 1.40 Normal Time

0.20

New T2 lesions

Baseline

4M TMZ+BVM



Radiation necrosis

- Months to years after therapy
- Indicence unclear, aprox. 24%
- Conventional T1 unreliable to recurrence

Radionecrosis and more

After surgery

10M later RT+TMZ







Follow-up

A ROI or the whole tumor?



Br J Radiol 2012;85:e1204-e1211. Neuro Oncol 2013;15:981-989

Related to other techniques

- rCBV and SWI
- DCE grading better than 3D arterial spin labeling (PCASL



Recurrence PET:moderate accuracy
 – ¹⁸F-FDG and ¹¹C-MET

Am. J. Neuroradiol. 2013 34:944-950 J Comput Assist Tomogr 2013;37: 321-326

Hope:ferumoxytol (ferumoxitol)



Potential for Differentiation of Pseudoprogression From True Tumor Progression With Dynamic Susceptibility-Weighted Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging Using Ferumoxytol vs. Gadoteridol: A Pilot Study Int J Rad Oncol Biol Phys 2011;79:514 - 523

DCE T1 Not comparable



Radiology 2013 doi:10.1148/radiol.13130016 Published online July 22, 2013,

Metastases post-SRS

- Most metastatic lesions are stable or smaller in size during the first 12 months.
- Transient 1 volume in 33% of lesions.
- Sex, treatment dose, initial lesion size, and histopathology correlate with variations in volume.
- The longer survival, the more likely an increase in lesion size will be seen on follow-up imaging.

Evolution after radiosurgery



- 33% ↑ due to radiation necrosis
- Keep alert!

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:1885-1892













rCBV 1.7

Radiation necrosis

- Not all-or-nothing phenomenon
- Forget T1/T2 mismatch, etc
- Cutoff rCBV <2.1:
 - sensitivity 100%
 - specificity 95.2%



Necrosis



Tumor

Courtesy Dra. J Cruz



Key points

- Protocol and baseline
- Therapy, beware antiangiogenic!
- MGMT
 - methylated: give a chance to PSPunmethylated
- Poor prognosis rCBV>2.3
- Mets vs RDN rCBV>2.1

AllTrials campaign

THE PROBLEM

Thousands of clinical trials have not reported results.

Information on what was done and what was found in these trials could be lost forever to doctors and researchers, leading to bad treatment decisions, missed opportunities for good medicine, and trials needlessly repeated.

WHAT CAN YOU DO?

+ All Trials

•Join the 58,000 individuals who have signed the petition for all clinical trials to be registered and the results reported.

Ask your organisation to join the campaign (400+ organisations have joined so far)
Write a feature, blog post, editorial, or tell your members in your organisation's newsletter
Ask your friends, family, and colleagues to sign up
Donate to the campaign

•Get involved in other ways via www.alltrials.net

All Trials Registered | All Results Reported AllTrials www.alltrials.net

Espero ver-lhes em Valencia em 2015 Obrigado

