

Generalitat de Catalunya Departament de Salut



"Head and Neck PET/CT imaging: neuroradiology perspective"

Lisboa

IX Congresso da SPNR

Parque das Nacões

Escola Superior de Tecnologia da Saúde de Lisboa

XLII Reunión Anual de la SENR

all d'Hebron

Hospital

Sahyly Siurana Montilva Unidad de Neurorradiología. Servicio de Radiología Hospital Vall d'Hebron Barcelona

ssiurana@idi-cat.org







90% to 95% of head and neck cancer are squamous cell carcinomas arising from mucosal linings of the upper aerodigestive tract.

The overall annual mortality rate for this cancer is 23% and the 5-year survival rate is 56%

More than 80% of early-stage tumors are cured but...

one-half of patients have evidence of **advanced local** disease or lymph node metastases at the time of diagnosis.

Early diagnosis and accurate staging are essential for treatment planning and can strongly influence prognosis



Summary

Imaging thecniques in the assessment and management of head and neck malignancies **RM vs PET/CT** staging: TNM

- the unknown primary carcinoma
- second primary carcinoma
- recurrent disease
- others uses



IMAGING THECNIQUES

CT

Simple technique Morphological criteria

Best bone evaluation Contrast-enhancement

Initial diagnostic tool speed and availability

Limitations

- •Poor soft-tissue contrast resolution
- •Dental artifacts

MR

Morphological criteria

Best soft-tissue resolution. Contrast-enhancement

Funtional DWI Physical principle

Measures motion of extracellular water (Brownian)



^{*}CDA: 1.3 X 10⁻³ mm²/s

Limitations

- Patients cooperation
- Artifacts susceptibility
- •Differents technique
- Post processing

PET/CT

Hybrid technique Morphological criteria CT

Best bone evaluation Contrast-enhancement

Funtional 18F-FDG Physical principle

Measures increased cellular glucose metabolism



Limitations

FDG is not a specific marker for cancer

- •Physiologic uptake
- Mimickers

Physiological activity/ uptake



DWI/MR

Review CT portion of PET/CT allows correlation of findings with normal anatomic structures reducing the rate of FP





There is no correlation between the FDG uptake and the ADC values in head and neck SCC. Fruehwald-Pallamar J et al Eur J nucl Med Mol Imaging 2011;38:1009-19



SUV and ADC values are independent parameters in HNSCC. Varoquaux A et al. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2013

Pretreatment primary tumor SUV max and ADC correlate significantly and negatively and both **may have similar potential to predict disease-free survival or disease** events of HNSCC. Nakajo M et al. Clin Nucl Med. 2012;37:475-60



STAGING OF HNSCC

T Stage

Extent of the lesion and involvement of adjacent structures.

MR imaging

Superior soft-tissue delineation Better assessment of :

- -Perineural
- -Craneal base
- -Bone marrow extension
- -Vascular invasion

Limitations:

-Small tumor

- -Movement
- -Pacemaker

PET/CT

Superior for detection of primary neoplastic lesion

Inferior resolution of anatomic details

Overestimates the size of the lesions.

Limitations:

-Small tumor

-Low affinity FDG -Perineural involvement -Intracranial extension

T Stage: Limitations Low affinity FDG













T Stage: Limitations Perineural spread

SCCA of the skin



40% of patients are asymptomatic

Associated with decreased survival and higher risk of local recurrence and metastases

T Stage: Limitations skull invasion

Nasopharynx SCC







STAGING OF HNSCC

• N Stage

Most important prognostic factor

Reduces the 5-year disease-specific survival rate in patients with HNSCC

CT / MR imaging

Morphological criteria

-Size and shape -Extracapsular invasion -Vascular involvement

Funtional criteria DWI.

 \leq 10mm low ADC (0,9 x 10⁻³ mm²/s)

sensitivity 84% specificity 94%

Vandecaveye V et al. Radiology 2009 Diff. diagnosis with reactive nodes

False-negativesmall and necrotic nodesFalse-positivefungal infection

PET/CT

Funtional criteria: FDG uptake

 \leq 10 mm but FDG-avid

sensitivity 90% specificity 93%

Sadick at all. Otorh Head and Neck Surg. 2012

False-negative

small nodes , necrotic Andrade et al. J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2006

False-positive

Reactive nodes

Funtional MR

50% of lymph node metastases are <10mm (Don et al. Laryngoscope 1995)





(Vandecavaye et al. Radiology 2009)





N Stage: Limitations necrotic lymph node.







N Stage: Limitations extracapsular spread.



N Stage: Limitations nodes that cannot be separated anatomically from the primary tumor.



N Stage: Limitations small nodes

15-25% NO necks have nodal metastasis



Lingual SCC T1N0

Sentinel lymph node

N Stage: Indications bilateral involvement in midline disease



Specificity 93% Sensitivity 80%

The methodology for calculating sensitivity and specificity differs among studies (based on patient, on neck level, and on individual node)

N Stage: Indications Bilateral involvement in unilateral disease





STAGING OF HNSCC

M Stage

- PET/CT is extremely valuable in identifying distant metastases
- Most common sites: lungs, mediastinal nodes, bone, and liver.

PET/CT

High risk of distan metastases T3-T4 HNSCC Lymph nodes metastases ≥ 4 bilateral > 6 cm Zone IV Recurrent HNSCC Second primary tumor Whole body imaging

Sensibility: 97% **Specificity: 95% PPV: 70% NPV: 99%**

Haerle et al./Oral Oncology 47 (2011)

M Stage : Indications T3-T4 HNSCC







18F-FDG PET/CT alters theTNM classification in 34% of cases , resulting in a change in radiation therapy technique in 29% Mak D.et al. Q J Nucl Med Mol Imaging . 2011

T1 N2c Nasopharinx

А

≥ 4 lymph nodes metastases **Bilateral lymph nodes metastases**

08000



Spin: 0 Tilt: 0

SP A23

Esthesioneuroblastoma











THE UNKNOWN PRIMARY CARCINOMA

- 2 to 9% of patients with lymph node m. have a hidden tumor. Primary tumor too small (<5 mm) to be seen Location (tonsillar crypts, base of tongue, pyriform sinus).
- Identification of occult primary sites, decrease treatmentrelated morbidity



Lower sensitivity for base of tongue cancers, and a lower specificity for tonsil cancers Rusthoven et al . Cancer ;2004.



pyriform sinus

base of tongue



Hipernefroma

FDG-PET should be performed whith negative CT / MR

Tx N2b UPC



Lymph node metastases levels II-IV from unknown scc

Lingual tonsil T1 N2b







Vall d'Hebron Hospital Hoebital SECOND PRIMARY CARCINOMAS

HNSCC **1** rate of developing SPC (3-5% p/y) (León et al. Head Neck; 1999) Toxic effects from tobacco and alcohol

Second cancers

Synchronous: same time Metachronous: 6 or more months.

Major sites : lung and esophagus.

4 % T1 stage (Huaghey et al. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol ;1992)
15 a 33% T3 y T4 or level IV metastatic node

Second primary carcinoma



Second primary carcinoma





MR: Recurrent N & perineural spread





PET-TC: Recurrent N, perineural spread & lung second primary carcinoma



RECURRENT DISEASE

Early detection after treatment = challenging task.

Objective assessment of treatment efficacy Residual Disease Recurrences: high incidence of local recurrence

76%: during the first 2 years after treatment

11%: 3rd year



Changes post surgical / post QT/RT

distortion of normal anatomy, edema, residual soft tissue, thickening or scar

Low sensitivity to small focus of remaining disease = treatmet delay

MR DWI

sensitivity84 al 94%specificity90 al 95%

(Vandecaveye V et al, Neuroradiology 2010)



RECURRENT DISEASE



metabolic approach

I

anatomic approach

The **most widespread** applications has been for the assessment of recurrent disease following therapy for HNSCC.

Sensitivity 84–100%

Specificities (61–93%).

CT/MR

False positive: inflammation, infection or recent biopsies

Optimal time interval highest accuracy (94%) ≥ 3 months after the completion of radiation therapy Porceddu et al. Head & Neck; 2011.

"In patients treated for HNSCC, a single PET/CT with negative findings carries a NPV of 91%, whisch is not adequate to defer further radiologic surveillance Two consecutive PET/CT examinations with negative findings within a 6-month period, however resulted in a NPV of 98% which could obviate further radiologic imaging in the absence of clinical signs of recurrence."

Post surgical Granulation tissue vs recurrent neoplasm





Post surgical recurrence no clinical suspicion



Oropharynx T4 N2c



Post RTx recurrence



Sensitivity 84-94% Specificity 90- 95%

RTx

Oropharynx T4 N2c

06/02/2012





Sensitivity 84-100% Specificity 61- 93%

01/10/12





Oropharynx T4 N2c lung M1

Post RTx

Inflammatory effects from RT or QT may contribute to inaccuracies by FDG-PET scans performed at early

03/04/2013











CDA 1.3 X 10-3 22/08/2013









PET/CT FOR IMRT PLANNING





Indications CT / MR

Tumor staging (T & N)

Unknown Primary Cancer.

Monitoring treatment

Indications PET/CT

Tumor staging (N & M)

Unknown Primary Cancer **if** MRI / TC – Recurrence diagnosis

FDG PET/CT should be avoided in

Poor prognosis and advance cancer stage (paliative)

No relevant therapeutic impact on inevitable decease

PET-RM



Eur J Radiol (2011), doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.10.005



Advantages

Precise delineation of recurrence Characterization of lymphadenopathy Exclusion of perineural spread Radiotherapy planning Artifacts Metal Increased sensitity /specificity



Summary

-MR is more available, lower cost and with high contrast resolution, which provides better assessment of the primary tumor.

-PET-CT is superior to MRI in detecting lymph node metastases, synchronous tumors and distant metastases, so you should consider its use especially in advanced stages, because you can change the staging and therapeutic approach.

-Both techniques should be considered complementary and not exclusive which ever value in each particular case, the need to use them in isolation or together.

-Further analyses will be necessary, however, to determine the costeffectiveness of routine